The Foundations: Logic and Proofs

Chapter 1, Part II: Predicate Logic

With Question/Answer Animations

Predicates and Quantifiers

Section 1.4

Section Summary

- Predicates
- Variables
- Quantifiers
  - Universal Quantifier
  - Existential Quantifier
- Negating Quantifiers
  - De Morgan's Laws for Quantifiers
- Translating English to Logic

Propositional Logic Not Enough

- If we have:

  "All men are mortal."

  "Socrates is a man."
- Does it follow that "Socrates is mortal?"
- Can't be represented in propositional logic. Need a language that talks about objects, their properties, and their relations.
- Later we'll see how to draw inferences.

Introducing Predicate Logic

- Predicate logic uses the following new features:
  - Variables: x, y, z
  - Predicates: $P(x)$, $M(x)$
  - Quantifiers (*to be covered in a few slides*):
- *Propositional functions* are a generalization of propositions.
  - They contain variables and a predicate, e.g., $P(x)$
  - Variables can be replaced by elements from their *domain*.

Propositional Functions

- Propositional functions become propositions (and have truth values) when their variables are each replaced by a value from the *domain* (or *bound* by a quantifier, as we will see later).
- The statement *P(x)* is said to be the value of the propositional function *P* at *x*.
- For example, let *P(x)* denote "$x > 0$" and the domain be the integers. Then:

  P(-3) is false.

  P(0) is false.

  P(3) is true.
- Often the domain is denoted by *U*. So in this example *U* is the integers.

Examples of Propositional Functions

- Let "$x + y = z$" be denoted by $R(x, y, z)$ and U (for all three variables) be the integers. Find these truth values:

  R(2,-1,5)

  Solution: F

  R(3,4,7)

  Solution: T

  R(x, 3, z)

  Solution: Not a Proposition

- Now let "$x - y = z$" be denoted by $Q(x, y, z)$, with U as the integers. Find these truth values:

  Q(2,-1,3)

  Solution: T

  Q(3,4,7)

  Solution: F

  Q(x, 3, z)

  Solution: Not a Proposition

Compound Expressions

- Connectives from propositional logic carry over to predicate logic.
- If $P(x)$ denotes "$x > 0$," find these truth values:

  $P(3) \vee P(-1)$ **Solution**: T

  $P(3) \wedge P(-1)$ **Solution**: F

  $P(3) \rightarrow P(-1)$ **Solution**: F

  $P(3) \rightarrow P(-1)$ **Solution**: T
- Expressions with variables are not propositions and therefore do not have truth values. For example,

  $P(3) \wedge P(y)$

  $P(x) \rightarrow P(y)$
- When used with quantifiers (to be introduced next), these expressions (propositional functions) become propositions.

Quantifiers



Charles Peirce (1839-1914)

- We need *quantifiers* to express the meaning of English words including *all* and *some*:
  - "All men are Mortal."
  - "Some cats do not have fur."
- The two most important quantifiers are:
  - *Universal Quantifier,* "For all," symbol: $\forall$
  - *Existential Quantifier*, "There exists," symbol: $\exists$
- We write as in $\forall x\, P(x)$ and $\exists x\, P(x)$.
- $\forall x\, P(x)$ asserts $P(x)$ is true for <u>every</u> x in the *domain*.
- $\exists x\, P(x)$ asserts $P(x)$ is true for <u>some</u> x in the *domain*.
- The quantifiers are said to bind the variable x in these expressions.

Universal Quantifier

- $\forall x\, P(x)$ is read as "For all x, P(x)" or "For every x, P(x)"

  Examples:

  1) If $P(x)$ denotes "$x > 0$" and U is the integers, then $\forall x\, P(x)$ is false.
  2) If $P(x)$ denotes "$x > 0$" and U is the positive integers, then $\forall x\, P(x)$ is true.
  3) If $P(x)$ denotes "x is even" and U is the integers, then $\forall\, x\, P(x)$ is false.

Existential Quantifier

- $\exists x\, P(x)$ is read as "For some x, P(x)", or as "There is an x such that P(x)," or "For at least one x, P(x)."

Examples:

1. If $P(x)$ denotes "$x > 0$" and U is the integers, then $\exists x\, P(x)$ is true. It is also true if U is the positive integers.
2. If $P(x)$ denotes "$x < 0$" and U is the positive integers, then $\exists x\, P(x)$ is false.
3. If $P(x)$ denotes "x is even" and U is the integers, then $\exists x\, P(x)$ is true.

Properties of Quantifiers

- The truth value of $\exists x\, P(x)$ and $\forall x\, P(x)$ depend on both the propositional function $P(x)$ and on the domain U.
- **Examples**:
  1. If U is the positive integers and $P(x)$ is the statement "$x < 2$", then $\exists x\, P(x)$ is true, but $\forall x\, P(x)$ is false.
  2. If U is the negative integers and $P(x)$ is the statement "$x < 2$", then both $\exists x\, P(x)$ and $\forall x\, P(x)$ are true.
  3. If U consists of 3, 4, and 5, and $P(x)$ is the statement "$x > 2$", then both $\exists x\, P(x)$ and $\forall x\, P(x)$ are true. But if $P(x)$ is the statement "$x < 2$", then both $\exists x\, P(x)$ and $\forall x\, P(x)$ are false.

Precedence of Quantifiers

- The quantifiers $\forall$ and $\exists$ have higher precedence than all the logical operators.
- For example, $\forall x\, P(x) \vee Q(x)$ means $(\forall x\, P(x)) \vee Q(x)$
- $\forall x\, (P(x) \vee Q(x))$ means something different.
- Unfortunately, often people write $\forall x\, P(x) \vee Q(x)$ when they mean $\forall x\, (P(x) \vee Q(x))$.

Translating from English to Logic

Example 1: Translate the following sentence into predicate logic: "Every student in this class has taken a course in Java."

Solution:

First decide on the domain *U*.

Solution 1: If *U* is all students in this class, define a propositional function J(x) denoting "x has taken a course in Java" and translate as $\forall x\, J(x)$.

Solution 2: But if *U* is all people, also define a propositional function S(x) denoting "x is a student in this class"

"For every person *x*, if person *x* is a student in this class then *x* taken a course in Java."

and translate as $\forall x\, (S(x) \rightarrow J(x))$.

$\forall x\, (S(x) \wedge J(x))$ is not correct. What does it mean?

Translating from English to Logic

Example 2: Translate the following sentence into predicate logic: "Some student in this class has taken a course in Java."

Solution:

First decide on the domain U.

Solution 1: If U is all students in this class, translate as

$$\exists x\, J(x)$$

Solution 1: But if U is all people, then translate as

- "There is a person x having the properties that x is a student in this class and x has taken a course in Java."

and translate as $\exists x\,(S(x) \wedge J(x))$

$\exists x\,(S(x) \rightarrow J(x))$ is not correct. What does it mean?

Returning to the Socrates Example

- Introduce the propositional functions *Man(x)* denoting "*x* is a man" and *Mortal(x)* denoting "*x* is mortal." Specify the domain as all people.
- The two premises are: $\forall x Man(x) \rightarrow Mortal(x)$

  $Man(Socrates)$
- The conclusion is: $Mortal(Socrates)$

- Later we will show how to prove that the conclusion follows from the premises.

Negating Quantified Expressions

- Consider $\forall x\, J(x)$

  "Every student in your class has taken a course in Java."

  Here $J(x)$ is "x has taken a course in calculus" and

  the domain is students in your class.

- Negating the original statement gives "It is not the case that every student in your class has taken Java." This implies that "There is a student in your class who has not taken calculus."

  Symbolically $\neg \forall x\, J(x)$ and $\exists x\, \neg J(x)$ are equivalent

Negating Quantified Expressions (*continued*)

- Now Consider $\exists x\, J(x)$

  "There is a student in this class who has taken a course in Java."

  Where $J(x)$ is "x has taken a course in Java."

- Negating the original statement gives "It is not the case that there is a student in this class who has taken Java." This implies that "Every student in this class has not taken Java"

  Symbolically $\neg\exists x\, J(x)$ and $\forall x\, \neg J(x)$ are equivalent

De Morgan's Laws for Quantifiers

- The rules for negating quantifiers are:

TABLE 2 De Morgan's Laws for Quantifiers.

Negation	*Equivalent Statement*	*When Is Negation True?*	*When False?*
$\neg\exists x\, P(x)$	$\forall x \neg P(x)$	For every x, $P(x)$ is false.	There is an x for which $P(x)$ is true.
$\neg\forall x\, P(x)$	$\exists x \neg P(x)$	There is an x for which $P(x)$ is false.	$P(x)$ is true for every x.

- The reasoning in the table shows that:

$$\neg\forall x P(x) \equiv \exists x \neg P(x)$$

$$\neg\exists x P(x) \equiv \forall x \neg P(x)$$

- These are important. You will use these.

Translation from English to Logic

Examples:

1. "Some student in this class has visited Mexico."

   Solution: Let $M(x)$ denote "x has visited Mexico" and $S(x)$ denote "x is a student in this class," and U be all people.

   $$\exists x \ (S(x) \wedge M(x))$$

2. "Every student in this class has visited Canada or Mexico."

   Solution: Add $C(x)$ denoting "x has visited Canada."

   $$\forall x \ (S(x) \rightarrow (M(x) \vee C(x)))$$

System Specification Example

- Predicate logic is used for specifying properties that systems must satisfy.
- For example, translate into predicate logic:
  - "Every mail message larger than one megabyte will be compressed."
  - "If a user is active, at least one network link will be available."
- Decide on predicates and domains (left implicit here) for the variables:
  - Let $L(m, y)$ be "Mail message m is larger than y megabytes."
  - Let $C(m)$ denote "Mail message m will be compressed."
  - Let $A(u)$ represent "User u is active."
  - Let $S(n, x)$ represent "Network link n is state x.
- Now we have:

$$\forall m(L(m, 1) \rightarrow C(m))$$

$$\exists u\, A(u) \rightarrow \exists n\, S(n, available)$$